

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/537,372	Applicant(s) GROVEMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Siegfried E. Chencinski	Art Unit 3628	

All Participants:

 (1) Siegfried E. Chencinski.

 (2) David Leason, Esq..
Status of Application: _____

 (3) Pr. Ex. Frantzy Poinvil.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2006
Time: 10:30 - 10:42am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 11, 29, 30, 31, 33

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Proposed Examiner's amendments to claims 6 and 11, confirmation of prior amendments to claims 1 and 33, and confirmation of Applicant's prior cancellation of claims 29-31. See attached examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)